

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/083.896

Examiner

Kevin L McHenry

Applicant(s)

CECIL ET AL.

Art Unit

1725

All Participants:

(1) Kevin L McHenry, examiner.

(2) Scott Gray, applicant's representative.

Status of Application: *Allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 December 2003

Time: 11:00 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior rejection of claim 21; *Flowers et al.* (U.S.P. 4,190,186) in view of *Benway et al.* (U.S.P. 4,868,367)

Claims discussed:

21, 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Flowers et al. (U.S.P. 4,190,186) in view of Benway et al. (U.S.P. 4,868,367)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that claims 1-20 and 22 were allowable while claim 21 would still be rejected by Flowers et al. (U.S.P. 4,190,186) in view of Benway et al. (U.S.P. 4,868,367). Agreement was reached to amend claim 21 to incorporate the subject matter of claim 22 and to delete claim 22 in order to place the application in condition for allowance..